

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/552,626	Applicant(s) GRAF ET AL.	
	Examiner DANIEL A. HESS	Art Unit 2876	

All Participants:

(1) DANIEL A. HESS.

(2) Justin Cassell.

Date of Interview: 14 July 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Daniel A Hess/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2876

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is noted that the examiner's amendment above is merely to properly incorporate the limitations of claim 3 of the 10/19/2009 claim set into claim 1. That was the stated intent of the 5/3/2010 amendment, but some grammatical errors had been introduced in the 5/3/2010 amendment which would have impacted the meaning of the claims..